

## NEW BUILDINGS IN THE CITY.

**Their Description, Location and Value—\$3,000,000 Worth of New Buildings in Course of Erection, &c., &c.**

We continue to day the publication of our list of new buildings, which now embraces nearly every one of importance in the city. Although they are not so numerous as they have been in former years, still what are going up are of a superior order, and in every way an additional ornament to our already beautiful city. We have been unable to obtain the prices of all of the buildings, some of the owners having objected to give the prices, on the ground that it interfered with their business arrangements. So that the table of the value of property in the hands of the different architects does not represent the entire value of property under their charge, but only that which we were able to obtain. There are many buildings still in course of erection through the city, which were commenced last year, or even before that, and have already been described in our columns. These we pass over, confining our list to the buildings of the past year. In a few days we will complete the list.

**LOUIS BURGESS, 58 BOWERY.**  
Three English basement dwelling houses, size 20x20, four stories high. They are situated in Forty-first street, near Third avenue, are owned by Mr. David Jones, and are worth \$11,000. They are to be finished by the 1st of June.

A new building for the "Lion Brewery," which was burned some time since. It will be situated on the corner of Ninth avenue and 10th street, size 15x24 1/2, height, four stories; cost \$30,000. Will be finished by the 1st of October.

A five-story and extension brick building, to be used for a steam brewery, situated on Eighth street, between Seventh and Eighth avenues, for Messrs. Smith Brothers. To be finished in September, and to cost \$11,000.  
A four-story brick building, with store, situated at No. 59 Fourth street—size 20x20. It is owned by Messrs. B. Van der Grint—will be finished by September, and will cost \$5,000.

Dwelling house No. 20 Hester street—size 20x26; height, four stories and basement. It is owned by Mr. Bachman, and will cost \$4,000.

A new four-story dwelling house, with store, size 20x20, and alterations of the adjoining premises. It is owned by Mr. J. H. Smith, at No. 80 and 82 Eldridge street, and will cost about \$5,000.

A three-story basement and attic brown stone front house, for Mr. Wm. Birchall, situated on Thirty-third street, between Lexington and Park avenues; size 25x55. Will contain all the modern improvements, and cost \$9,000.

A three-story English basement brown stone house, with extension, to be situated on Park avenue, between Third and Fourth streets. Owned by Mr. Henry West, and will cost \$7,000.

A four-story brick dwelling house, 25x50, with store, situated on Fifty-fourth street, between Third and Lexington avenues. To be finished in September, and to cost \$6,000.

A five-story brick building, with vaults, as an extension of the brewery of Messrs. F. and M. Schaefer, on Fourth avenue, between Fifth and Fifth and a half streets. To be completed in September, and to cost about \$5,000.

The estimates on the property mentioned above are not in all cases correct, as some of the buildings have not yet been contracted for. In such cases the supposed cost is inserted.—R. W. GIBSON.

**JOHN BURGESS, 58 EAST THIRTIETH STREET.**  
Has a large quantity of work on hand. He is building sixteen new dwellings on Stuyvesant square for Messrs. McKenney and O'Hara. They are of Brunswick stone, are first class houses, and will cost when finished about \$12,000 each.

Eight buildings corner of Twenty-ninth street and Fourth avenue. Three of them are dwelling houses, with brown stone fronts; and five of them are for stores and dwellings, and are of brick. The entire cost when finished will be about \$40,000.

A fine store in Broadway, between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth streets, of plain brick.

Two on the corner of Thirty-seventh street and Broadway.

One on the corner of Forty-ninth street and Broadway, and one on the corner of Fifty-sixth street and Broadway. They are owned by General J. Watts De Peyster, and will probably cost about \$5,000 each.

First class dwelling house in Nineteenth street, for William L. Morris, of brick and Brunswick stone. Were unable to give the cost.

He has also just completed a fine marble building for law offices, &c., in Nassau street, near Fulton. It has a very commanding appearance, and is five stories, basement and cellar in height. It is owned by Mr. Thomas E. Davis, and when entirely finished will cost about \$40,000.

Adjoining this is a small building compared with the great beside it. It will also be used for law offices, and is owned by Mrs. Elizabeth Micks, and cost about \$5,000.

**WM. GIBSON.**  
Is not an architect by profession, but is building a very large brick edifice for his own business. It is situated on Broadway, corner of Thirtieth street, and will run through to Fourth avenue, when completed. It will be built on plans original with himself, and will be highly ornamental. There will be a main gallery in the building, 250 feet long and two stories high. This gallery Mr. Gibson intends to use as a show room for his goods. There will also be a public hall in the building, with an entrance on Fourth avenue, and one on Thirtieth street. It will be 125 feet long, seventy-five feet wide, and seventy feet high. It is being built by Thirtieth street, and when finished, will probably cost \$150,000.

There is one wing up at the present time, and will be completed by the owner on or about the 1st of May. The portion that is built has cost \$40,000, and the rest will be finished by next spring.

**WM. FIELD AND SON, 746 BROADWAY.**  
One store, for Mr. James Thompson, 73 Franklin street, marble front, five stories high, will cost \$15,000.

Two dwellings on the corner of Fifth avenue and Forty-second street; first class houses, and are owned by Mr. Ward and Mr. Parson. Were unable to get the cost.

**H. G. HARRISON, 51 WALL STREET.**  
Is superintending the erection, for Mr. S. W. Driscoll, on a part of the Beekman estate, of nine brown stone houses, on Fifth street, near the First Avenue, as follows:—Seven houses on the north side, to be worth \$100,000 each; one house on the south side, or, for Mr. Thompson, to be worth \$14,000; and one house adjoining, built to order, for the Rev. Mr. Jamison, to be worth \$10,000. These houses are built in the best manner by day work, and will be quite an ornament to that part of the city.

Mr. Harrison is also drawing plans for the same party for about thirty more houses of the same class, to be erected on the same estate the coming year. There are several hundred lots on this estate, the proprietor of which is their special restriction to first class houses; hence this part of the city is fast becoming a very desirable place for residences. He is also erecting for Mr. Adam W. Spies three marble front houses on the corner of Howard and Mercer streets, which are to cost \$20,000 each.

**M. E. THOMPSON, 15 CENTER STREET.**  
Is putting up, in addition to what we mentioned in our last article on this subject, a new building for Mr. Bruce, on Fulton street, near Nassau, on the site of the premises recently destroyed by fire. The public will recollect that at this site the *Lodge* establishment was destroyed, and the extensive printing house of Wynkoop, Hallock & Thomas. The new building will be of brick, with iron floors, will run through to Ann street, and will be five stories high, with basement and vaults under the street. The cost of it when finished will be about \$20,000.

**THOMAS S. WALL, 348 BROADWAY.**  
Two stores on the corner of Broadway and White streets, for Mr. S. D. Hancock and Mr. Mathew Morgan; they are marble fronts, five stories, basement and cellar in height; are 72 feet on Broadway, and 17 1/2 feet on White street, and will cost, exclusive of the ground, about \$250,000. The ground is estimated as worth \$240,000, which makes the total value of the property nearly half a million.

He is about building a store in Canal street, near Broadway, on the site of the old French church, for Mr. Daniel Devlin, the clothier. It will be one hundred feet on Canal street, running half through the block, and from that point it will run through to Howard street, and will have marble fronts, be six stories and basement in height, and will cost about \$150,000.

**JOHN S. SNOOK, 19 COLUMBIA STREET.**  
One first class double store, on John and 50 Chambers street, size 50 by 75—height, cellar, basement and six stories. The fronts are to be of iron and marble. They are owned by Mr. John D. Wolfe, and will cost, about \$40,000.

One double store, on lots 55 and 57 Canal street, near Broadway, running through to Leonard street, the front on Canal street to be of iron and marble, and the front on Leonard street to be of iron and Philadelphia brick; size 37 feet 6 inches by 68 feet; basement and five stories in height, with vaults. They are owned by Mr. John D. Wolfe, and will cost about \$25,000.

A first class store on Broadway, size 25x119, height, cellar, basement and five stories, with cellar and basement vaults in front. The front to be of iron; size 7x5 1/2, and will cost \$70,000.

Two double stores on lots 96, 98, 100 and 102 Reade street, each 50x100, cellar, basement and six stories high, with cellar and basement vaults. First story and basement front to be of iron, the rest of Eastchester marble. Owned by Mr. A. T. Stewart; will cost \$100,000.

A first class store on lots 77, 79, 81, 83 and 85 Duane street, size 25x75, cellar, basement and six stories high, with vaults. The entire front to be of iron. Owned by Mr. Thomas Palmer, and will cost \$100,000.

Also building, a first class store, for the same owner, on lot No. 68 Duane street, running through to Manhattan alley; cellar, basement, and six stories high; the front on Duane street to be of iron and on Manhattan alley of iron and brick; size 42x50, with vaults; to cost \$35,000.

Store No. 60 Duane street, size 25x75; cellar, basement, and six stories high, with vaults; front to be of iron and Eastchester marble. Owned by Mr. William Wallcut. Will cost \$20,000.

Two stores in Reade street, Nos. 18 and 20; size 25x75 and 25x75. They run through to Manhattan alley; are cellar, basement and six stories high; fronts of Eastchester marble and iron; owned by Mr. T. Monell and Mr. A. Pender. Will cost when completed \$55,000.

Will commence on the 1st of May building on lots Nos. 5, 7 and 9 Maiden lane, size 50x75; height, cellar, basement and six stories; to be of iron and Dorchester stone. Cellar, basement and first story to be used as stores, the second and third stories as offices; the rest to be used in connection with the Howard Hotel. Owned by Messrs. G. S. Owens and Gosham & Co., of Providence, R. I. Will cost \$25,000.

Store No. 125 Duane street, size 24x76, five stories high, with cellar and basement vaults. Owned by Mr. F. W. Wallcut, he of iron and Eastchester marble, and to cost \$15,000.

Two stores, 55 and 57 Beekman street, running through to Ann street, each to be 25x120, cellar, basement, and six stories high. The fronts on Beekman street to be of iron and Vermont marble. The fronts on Ann street to be of iron and brick—one building for the estate of George Endicott, and the other for the estate of William Weyman; will cost about \$44,000.

Two stores, 51 and 53 Beekman street, running through to Ann street, each 24x125; same height as the above, with same fronts. Owned by Mr. John D. Wendell, and will cost about \$50,000.

A building in Nassau street, No. 33, for J. K. J. Stuart; cellar, basement and five stories high. To be occupied by the Hanover Bank, the upper portion for offices; size 25x125. To be of Eastchester marble, and to cost \$25,000.

Also building on lot northwest corner of Washington and Vesey streets, 36 by 54 feet; basement and five stories high, owned by C. A. Zabriskie; will cost \$12,000.

Also a building, 233 Greenwich street, for John J. Healey; basement and five stories high, 24 by 60; the front of iron and Philadelphia brick; will cost \$15,000.

The same architect is commencing to build a first class store for himself, on lots No. 71 and 73 Duane street, 50 by 75 feet; cellar, basement and five stories high, the front to be of iron and marble, and will cost \$40,000.

Also a number of dwelling houses and other buildings, the cost of which will not be less than \$150,000.

**VALUE OF PROPERTY IN THE HANDS OF THE FOLLOWING ARCHITECTS.**

James H. Gibson.....\$100,000  
Robert McKenney.....200,000  
John Sexton.....77,000  
Palmer & Leitch.....36,000  
Charles McKenney.....100,000  
F. D. Duckworth.....98,000  
Renwick, Auchmutz & Sands.....614,500  
Architectural Iron Works.....87,500  
John J. Healey.....15,000  
M. E. Thompson.....100,000  
G. S. Owens.....60,000  
Wm. Field & Son.....35,000  
Louis Burgess.....95,300  
John Rogers.....330,000  
Wm. Gibson.....150,000  
Wm. Field & Son.....150,000  
H. G. Harrison.....154,000  
Thomas S. Wall.....410,000  
J. S. Snook.....52,000  
Total.....\$2,714,300

**Jersey City News.**  
The Jersey City CHARTER ELECTION.—The opposition of Jersey City elected their candidate for Mayor by 224 majority, and the election of the Right Aldermen. The following is a list of the candidates elected:  
Mayor—Cornelius Vanvorst, opp.  
Water Commissioners—Jacob R. Wortendyke, dem.; and Benjamin C. Edge, opp.  
Board of Health—John J. Richards, dem.; and Charles McKenney, opp.  
Assessors—First and Second Wards—Lewis M. De Camp, dem.; Third and Fourth Wards—Smith Wood, dem.  
School Superintendant—Abraham S. Jewell, no opposition.  
Aldermen—First Ward—Wm. Clarke and Thos. Farie, opp.; Second Ward—Edgar, opp.; and John McKenney, dem.; Third Ward—H. F. Clark, and Rufus S. Terry, opp.; Fourth Ward—Wm. H. Nafew and John B. Remar, opp.  
County Judges—First Ward—A. J. Fitch, opp.; Second Ward—James Lynch, dem.; Third Ward—James F. Fielder, opp.; Fourth Ward—E. R. Duncan, opp.  
Commissioners of Appeals—Appointing—C. De Cammer, constable—Chancellor C. Justice of the Peace—Robert B. Earle.  
Second Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—Patrick Joyce, constable—James Farrell, Justice of the Peace—John Cowan.  
Third Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—John Chivers, constable—William D. Sloan, Justice of the Peace—Wm. C. Morris.  
Fourth Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—William C. Traphagen, constable—N. B. Rommel, Justice of the Peace—A. C. Griggs.

**AID TO THE LYNN FRISKERS.**—A public meeting is to be held at the Lyceum Hall, Jersey City, this (Thursday) evening, in aid of the Lynn friskers.

**Hoboken City News.**  
Hoboken City Election.—The canvass in the charter election of Hoboken was completed yesterday noon, and has resulted in the entire defeat of the opposition candidates, with the exception of Charles Froehlicher. The ticket as elected is as follows:—  
Mayor—John R. Johnston.  
Treasurer—Abraham Barker.  
City Clerk—George S. Avery.  
Commissioners of Appeals—Appointing—C. De Cammer, constable—Chancellor C. Justice of the Peace—Robert B. Earle.  
Second Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—Patrick Joyce, constable—James Farrell, Justice of the Peace—John Cowan.  
Third Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—John Chivers, constable—William D. Sloan, Justice of the Peace—Wm. C. Morris.  
Fourth Ward—Commissioner of Appeals—William C. Traphagen, constable—N. B. Rommel, Justice of the Peace—A. C. Griggs.

**DEATH OF WELL KNOWN CITIZEN.**—Many of the well known citizens, who have for years been connected with the mercantile interests in this city, are beginning to drop off one after another by the inevitable stroke of death. The latest record we have to make includes the names of William E. Williams, of the firm of Williams, Williams & Mount, successors, and Peter A. Delmonico, brother of the famous artist, whose restaurant is the resort of the first class of our city's politicians, lawyers and citizens generally. Mr. Williams died at the age of twenty of his age, and was sick only about a week when he expired. The funeral will take place on Saturday, at two o'clock in the afternoon, at Mr. Delmonico's in the seventy eighth street, at his late public residence, where Mr. Williams died.

**A RAILROAD TELEGRAPH.**—A new and ingenious invention for communicating by telegraph to and from railroad cars, while in motion, is now on exhibition in this city. In intervals of fifty feet, pendulums are dropped from the roof of the car, which are connected by a wire to the telegraph office, from any station on the line, or any other train car. At railroad stations, where there are no telegraph offices, the intervals will be particularly valuable. A beautiful model of the telegraph is on exhibition, and is well worth a visit.

**PARADE OF THE SCOT LIFE GUARD.**—A parade of this admirable company is to take place on the 18th inst., for which exhibition the members are making active preparations. The Scotch Life Guard Band has been engaged for the parade, and the parade will be a most magnificent one. The parade will take place at one of the principal hotels of the city. During the day, the flag will be displayed from the City Hall, and other public buildings.

**RAILROAD CATASTROPHES.**—Mary Smith, a woman about thirty-five years of age, died at Bellevue Hospital, yesterday, from the effects of injuries sustained in a collision with the Harlem Railroad, near Morrisania, a few days ago. Coroner O'Keefe was notified to hold an inquest upon the body of deceased.

**United States Circuit Court.**  
Before Hon. Judge Smalley.  
April 11.—The United States vs. Wm. Nelson.—The prisoner, who had pleaded guilty to embezzling letters, was sentenced to hard labor for twelve months.

In the matter of the Application of Samuel Marlor for his Discharge from Imprisonment.—The prisoner is now confined in Eldridge street, and is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke, who is charged with defrauding the revenue, was a witness for the United States against one of New Haven who is charged with defrauding the revenue. The case involves some \$50,000, and possesses some curious features. It is charged that the prisoner, O'Rourke